



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/600,589 | 06/19/2003 | Cormac Herley | MCS-020-03 (302966.01) | 5562 |
| 7590 | 10/12/2007 | | | |
| Mark A. Watson Lyon & Harr Suite 800 300 Esplanade Drive Oxnard, CA 93030 | | | EXAMINER SIEDLER, DOROTHY S | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2626 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 10/12/2007 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/600,589 | HERLEY ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Dorothy Sarah Siedler | 2626 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Dorothy Sarah Siedler. (3) Mark Watson.
 (2) Talivaldis Smits. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 23.

Identification of prior art discussed: Eubanks.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative and the examiner agreed that language such as, "suspension of sampling of a non-indexed, broadcast media stream for a period of time determined by a duration of any identified media object in the media stream" would overcome the prior art of record. However, further search and consideration would be required to determine patentability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Sarah Siedler
 Examiner TD 2626


 TĀLIVALDIS MĀRS SMITS
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required